

HAUSFELD LLP FIRM SUMMARY

Hausfeld LLP ranks among the world's top claimants' firms. Renowned for our skillful prosecution of complex and class-action litigation, we represent individuals, businesses, and organizations—domestically and internationally—in the areas of antitrust/competition law, human and civil rights, mass torts, environmental threats, securities fraud, and consumer protection. For decades Hausfeld LLP attorneys have vigorously advocated for aggrieved claimants, achieving noteworthy trial victories and settlements while contributing to the development of law in the United States and abroad. The firm has offices in Washington, D.C., Philadelphia, San Francisco, and London, with affiliated offices throughout Europe, Asia, Latin America, Canada, and Australia.

Hausfeld LLP was founded by Michael D. Hausfeld, who is widely recognized as one of the country's top civil litigators and a leading expert in the fields of private antitrust/competition enforcement and international human rights. The *New York Times* has described Mr. Hausfeld as one of the nation's "most prominent antitrust lawyers" while *Washingtonian Magazine* characterizes him as a lawyer who is "determined to change the world—and succeeding," noting that he "consistently brings in the biggest judgments in the history of law."

Under Mr. Hausfeld's leadership, Hausfeld LLP attorneys have studied the recent global integration of markets—and responded with innovative legal theories and a creative approach to claims in new and emerging markets. The firm's pursuit of global justice has also yielded a diverse array of clients, including Native Alaskans, Holocaust survivors, and apartheid victims in South Africa.

LEADERSHIP IN LANDMARK LITIGATION

Courts have appointed Hausfeld LLP and its attorneys as lead or co-lead class counsel in over 30 class-action antitrust, consumer-protection, mass-tort, and sports and entertainment cases, with leadership positions in numerous other cases:

Antitrust

- *Ace Delivery & Moving, Inc. v. Horizon Lines, LLC* ("Alaskan Shipping"), No. 08-cv-00207 (D. Ak.)
- *In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litig.*, No. 06-md-1775 (E.D.N.Y.)
- *In re Automotive Aftermarket Lighting Products Antitrust Litig.*, No. 09-ML-2007-GW (PJW) (C.D. Cal.)
- *Bruce Foods Corp. v. SK Foods, LP* ("Processed Tomatoes"), No. 2:09-cv-00027-MCE-EFB (E.D. Cal.)
- *In re Chocolate Confectionary Antitrust Litig.*, No. 08-mdl-1935 (M.D. Pa.)
- *In re Endosurgical Products Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*, No. SACV 05-8809 JVS (MLGx) (C.D. Cal.)
- *In re Flat Glass Antitrust Litig.*, No. 2:08-mc-00180 (E.D. Pa.)

- *In re Florida Cement and Concrete Antitrust Litig.*, No. 09-23187-CIV-ALTONAGA/Brown (S.D. Florida)
- *In re Fresh and Process Potatoes Antitrust Litig.*, No. 4:10-MD-2186-BLW (D. Id.)
- *In re Hydrogen Peroxide Antitrust Litig.*, No. 05-cv-666 (E.D. Pa.)
- *In re International Air Passenger Surcharge Antitrust Litig.*, No. M:06-cv-01793 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Kohlder Manufacturing Co., Inc. v. Kason Industries, Inc. et al*, No. 1:10-cv-01875-WSD (N.D. Ga.)
- *In re Methyl Methacrylate Antitrust Litig.* (“MMA”), No. 06-md-1768 (E.D. Pa.)
- *In re Municipal Derivatives Antitrust Litig.*, No. 08-cv-2516 (S.D.N.Y.)
- *In re NCAA Student-Athlete Name & Likeness Licensing Litig.*, No. C09-1967 VRW (N.D. Cal.)
- *In re Optical Disk Drive Antitrust Litig.*, No. 3:10-md-02143-VRW (N.D. Cal.)
- *In re OSB Antitrust Litig.*, No. 06-cv-826 (E.D. Pa.)
- *In re Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litig.*, No. 1:10-md-02196-JZ (N.D. Ohio)
- *In re Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1556 (M.D. Pa.)
- *In re Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litig.*, No. 2:08-cv-04653 (E.D. Pa.)
- *In re Rail Freight Fuel Surcharge Antitrust Litig.*, No. 1:07-mc-00489-PLF-JMF (D.D.C.)
- *In re Transpacific Passenger Air Transport Antitrust Litig.*, No. 3:07-cv-05634 (N.D. Cal.)
- *In re Vitamins Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1285 (D.D.C.)
- *In re Vitamin C Antitrust Litig.*, No. 1:06-md-01738-DGT-JO (E.D.N.Y.)
- *In re LIBOR-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litig.*, No. 11-MD-2262 (S.D.N.Y.)

Consumer Protection

- *Kairoff v. Dropbox, Inc.*, No. 4:11-cv-02508-PJH (N.D. Cal.)
- *Radoski, et al. v. Envision EMI, LLC*, No. 1:09-CV-00887-CKK (D.D.C.)
- *Ross v. Trex Co., Inc.*, No. 5:09-CV-00670 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Savett v. Sealy Corp.*, No. 1:11-CV-336 (M.D.N.C.)
- *In re Sony PS3 “Other OS” Litig.*, No. CV-10-1811-RS (N.D. Cal.)
- *In re Tyson Foods, Inc., Chicken Raised without Antibiotics Consumer Litig.*, No. 1:08-md-01982-RDB (D. Md.)
- *Wolph v. Acer America Corp.*, No. CV-09-01314-VRW (N.D. Cal.)

Mass Tort

- *In re Chinese-Manufactured Drywall Products Liability Litig.*, MDL No. 2047 (E.D. La.) (Of Counsel to Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee)
- *In re Prempro Products Liability Litigation*, No. 4:03-cv-01507-WRW (E.D. Ark.) (Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee)

Financial Services

- *In re Commodity Exchange, Inc. Silver Futures and Options Trading Litig.*, No. 1:11-md-02213-RPP (S.D.N.Y.) (Interim Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee).

Sports & Entertainment

- *Dryer v. Nat'l Football League*, No. 0:09-cv-02182-PAM-AJB (D. Minn.)
- *Eller v. Nat'l Football League*, No. 11-CV-639 (D. Minn.)

RECENT ACCOLADES AND ACHIEVEMENTS

- The 2012 Benchmark Plaintiff Guide to America's Leading Plaintiff Firms and Attorneys identified Hausfeld LLP as one of seven "Tier 1" Antitrust firms in the country, naming four Hausfeld partners as "Antitrust Litigation Stars"—more than any other firm. Further, the Guide listed Hausfeld as as one of five "Highly Recommended" Plaintiff firms in Washington, DC, naming four of its partners as "Local Litigation Stars" as well:

"Michael Hausfeld, the founder and chairman of the firm, is a Goliath in the plaintiffs' bar having earned his esteemed reputation as a leading litigator with record-breaking decisions won by advancing unconventional legal theories. Known for success in human rights, antitrust and complex commercial litigation, he is also considered one of the country's best negotiators. William Butterfield, Hilary Scherrer and James Pizzirusso bring decades of invaluable expertise and experience to the firm's practice."

- The US Legal 500 publication identified Hausfeld LLP as one of the top three antitrust plaintiffs' firms nationwide in 2011:

"Led by the renowned Michael Hausfeld, Hausfeld LLP has rapidly established itself at the plaintiff's bar since its inception in 2008, bringing to bear its '*crucial experience of the tactics which may be employed by both sides in litigation*'. The group has a dedicated focus on complex antitrust litigation and class actions, and 'provides creative advice to resolve issues'. In June 2010, the firm reached a \$25m settlement with defendants Land O'Lakes, Moark and Norco Ranch in *In re Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation*. The firm had filed the first case in this action alleging a national conspiracy of supply reduction and price fixing in the egg industry. The firm also saw *In re Ethylene Propylene Diene Monomer (EPDM) Antitrust Litigation* through to successful settlement in 2010 after seven years, winning class certification on summary judgment in 2009 and the subsequent Second Circuit appeal in 2010. The case was finally settled six months before the trial began. The firm also has a London office through which it is pursuing action against an alleged global cartel. Andrew Bullion and Megan Jones are recommended for having a '*good understanding of business and operational environments*'."

- The National Law Journal recognized Hausfeld LLP as a premier plaintiffs' firm in 2010 ("2010 Plaintiffs' Hot List"):

"Since its inception in 2008, 23-attorney Hausfeld has quickly positioned itself as a leader in antitrust and class action litigation. Washington-based Chairman Michael Hausfeld's firm has established new frontiers for plaintiffs' legal recovery, while pursuing global cartels and representing victims of apartheid. That case resulted in a groundbreaking ruling by the U.S. Court

of Appeals for the 2d Circuit that aiding and abetting violations of international law are actionable under the Alien Tort Claims Act. The firm claims recoveries worth \$300 million during the past 12 months.”

- In 2010, the US Legal 500 publication also listed Hausfeld LLP among the top three antitrust plaintiffs’ firms in the country:

“Hausfeld LLP brands as a global plaintiff representation firm, targeted at providing justice to both individuals and businesses, and antitrust litigation is one of five key areas of expertise....[T]he firm has been appointed co-lead counsel in over 20 significant cases. The firm is headquartered in Washington DC, and also has offices in New York, Philadelphia, San Francisco and London. One client ranks the firm as *‘the best I have ever worked with’*. Recent successes include two cases in the airline industry, firstly as co-lead counsel in the British Airways/Virgin Atlantic Fuel Surcharge Price-Fixing Cartel Case, which resulted in a \$200m settlement for the aggrieved plaintiffs; the precedent-setting case allowed UK citizens equal footing with US citizens in US courts. The firm also acted as co-lead counsel in negotiating and agreeing a \$85m court-approved settlement with Lufthansa in an air cargo shipping price-fixing cartel case; the action against other plaintiffs continues. Other significant work included taking a leading part include the “Chinese dry-wall” litigation, and an action on behalf of victims of the South African apartheid regime against multinational corporations which allegedly aided and abetted crimes against humanity... Recommended lawyers include the firm’s founder, Washington DC-based Michael Hausfeld, an experienced veteran who is *‘inventive and determined’*. Also in Washington DC, Brian Ratner is an *‘engaging, highly competent professional who can simplify complex antitrust matters and make them comprehensible to a non-antitrust attorney’*, and Andrew Bullion, *‘experienced, knowledgeable and easy to communicate with’*. In Philadelphia, Brent Landau is *‘very professional and personable’*. ”

- The US Legal 500 publication honored Hausfeld LLP as one of the top antitrust plaintiffs’ firms in the country in 2009.
- In March 2009 the firm finalized a historic global-settlement agreement with Parker ITR concerning the company’s involvement in an international marine-hose cartel. The settlement agreement was the first private resolution of a company’s global-cartel liability without any arbitration, mediation, or litigation. It thus signaled opportunities never before possible for dispute resolution, and it provides a new model for global-cartel settlements going forward. Major oil-company purchasers and other significant marine-hose purchasers have signed or agreed to sign the settlement agreement.
- Hausfeld LLP is court-appointed lead counsel (with special responsibility for stewardship of non-US claims) in the *Air Cargo Antitrust Litigation* on behalf of air-freight customers opposing a group of international airlines who allegedly fixed prices on air-freight shipping. This case has already resulted in settlements worth over \$450 million. Michael Hausfeld is one of the lead settlement negotiators for the claimants.
- Hausfeld LLP is also court-appointed co-lead counsel in the *Air Passenger Antitrust Litigation* representing thousands of air travelers worldwide opposing British Airways and Virgin

Atlantic Airways for allegedly fixing prices of air-passenger transportation from the UK to all long-haul destinations in the world (as well as the opposite routes). Hausfeld LLP lawyers secured in this action the first recovery for foreign citizens based on foreign antitrust law in a US antitrust case. European citizens and businesses will benefit significantly from this settlement, which provides equal compensation for domestic and foreign air passengers.

- Hausfeld LLP lawyers also successfully litigated and settled foreign claims in *Kruman v. Christie's International PLC. et al.*, marking the first time that non-US claimants received, as a class, compensation for violation of competition laws (the fixing of auction commissions)—a milestone in both US antitrust jurisprudence and European recovery.

STERLING REPRESENTATION

Given Hausfeld LLP's depth of experienced lawyers, history, profile, and recent successes, the firm is widely considered to be a leader in the antitrust bar. Hausfeld LLP lawyers have an exemplary record of:

- Leading or participating in the world's most significant plaintiffs' private antitrust-enforcement actions;
- Cutting-edge innovation in private antitrust enforcement in the United States and abroad; and
- Building one of the largest and most talented plaintiffs' private antitrust enforcement teams in the US, the UK, and Europe.

As international cartels invade the marketplace—and international enforcement bodies struggle to keep up—Hausfeld LLP is able to provide unparalleled legal advice and superior representation for claimants anywhere on the planet. Hausfeld LLP's unique position and skill sets are frequently acknowledged by leading defense firms too, who commend Hausfeld LLP attorneys (in public and in private) while seeking global “peace” for their cartel clients.

LEGAL INNOVATION

Hausfeld LLP lawyers have hosted, lectured at, and participated in numerous conferences throughout four continents. Among the topics addressed have been the pursuit of damages actions in the US and EU on behalf of EU and other non-US plaintiffs; private civil enforcement of EU competition laws; the Supreme Court decision in *Empagran*; the principle of international comity; monopolization; and emerging issues in electronic discovery. Hausfeld LLP attorneys have presented before regulators, judges, business leaders, in-house counsel, private lawyers, public-interest advocates, and institutional investors. They have also written extensively on these subjects and many others, and they have led key competition-policy debates around the world.

NON-COMPETITION MATTERS

In addition to their cutting-edge work in the competition and antitrust fields, Hausfeld LLP lawyers have been at the forefront of leading human rights, civil rights, environmental, mass tort, consumer, and other complex matters litigated in the United States and abroad. Richard Lewis, for example, is presently lead counsel in an international environmental and human rights case involving drinking water contamination in Bhopal, India. Mr. Lewis is also a member of the Plaintiff's Steering Committee in the federal Hormone Replacement Therapy ("HRT") mass-tort litigation as well as the Chinese-Manufactured Drywall litigation.

Highlights:

- *Holocaust Litigation*

In the historic Swiss banking litigation, Michael Hausfeld served, pro bono, as co-lead counsel for Holocaust survivors against the Swiss banks that collaborated with the Nazi regime during World War II by laundering stolen funds, jewelry, and art treasures. Michael Hausfeld obtained a \$1.25 billion settlement. *In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litig.*, No. CV 96-4849 (ERK) (MDG) (E.D.N.Y.). He was also a lead counsel in litigation by survivors of World War II-era forced and slave labor against the German companies that profited from the labor of concentration camp inmates. This litigation, which resulted in an unprecedented settlement of \$5.2 billion for approximately two million claimants, was resolved through multinational negotiations that included the defendants, plaintiffs' counsel, and the governments of several countries.

- *In re Chinese-Manufactured Drywall Products Liability Litig.*, MDL No. 2047 (E.D. La.).

Richard Lewis helped try the *Germano v. Taishan* property damages and remediation matter on behalf of seven Virginia homeowners. The Court ordered plenary relief for the seven homeowners in the amount of \$2.6M and determined the standard for remediation of a damaged Chinese drywall home. Mr. Lewis was instrumental in the *Daubert* briefing and argument as well as the trial testimony of several experts, and was successful in excluding significant portions of the defense experts' opinions.

- *In re The Exxon Valdez Litig.*, No. A89-095 Civ. (D. Ak.).

Michael Hausfeld was selected from dozens of attorneys around the country by federal and state judges in Alaska to serve as co-lead counsel for plaintiffs in the largest environmental case in United States history, which resulted in a jury verdict of more than \$5 billion (reversed and remanded; further proceedings pending).

- *In re Diet Drug Litig.* (Fen-Phen), MDL No. 1203 (E.D. Pa.).

As a member of the Plaintiffs' Management Committee and Sub-Class Counsel, Richard Lewis played a major part in the success of the Fen-Phen diet drug litigation and settlement. Mr. Lewis and other plaintiffs' counsel achieved one of the largest settlements ever obtained in a mass tort case—\$3.75 billion—on behalf of millions of U.S. consumers who used diet drugs that are associated with heart valve damage.

- *In re StarLink Corn Products Liability Litig.*, MDL No. 1403. (N.D. Ill.).

Richard Lewis was co-lead counsel and successfully represented U.S. corn farmers in a national class action against Aventis CropScience USA Holding and Garst Seed Company, the manufacturer and primary distributor of StarLink corn seeds. StarLink is a genetically modified corn variety that the United States government permitted for sale as animal feed and for industrial purposes but never approved for human consumption. Yet StarLink was found in corn products sold in grocery stores across the country and was traced to widespread contamination of the U.S. commodity corn supply. The settlement, which provided more than \$110 million for U.S. corn farmers, was the first successful resolution of tort claims brought by farmers against the manufacturers of genetically modified seeds.

- *Roberts v. Texaco, Inc.*, 94-Civ. 2015 (S.D.N.Y.).

Michael Hausfeld represented a class of African-American employees in this landmark litigation that resulted in what was at that time the largest race-discrimination settlement in history (\$176 million in cash, salary increases, and equitable relief).

INDEX

Annex 1 of this resume is a list of quotes from journalists and publications concerning the work of Hausfeld LLP attorneys, as well as awards and recognitions. Annex 2 provides individual profiles of Hausfeld LLP attorneys. Annex 3 contains information about the firm's London affiliate Hausfeld & Co. LLP and its attorneys. Annex 4 is a list of publications by Hausfeld attorneys. Finally, Annex 5 provides contact information for the firm's various offices.

ANNEX 1

Quotes from Journalists and Publications Concerning the Work of Hausfeld LLP Attorneys

“With a well developed focus in the areas of antitrust, human and civil rights, mass torts, environmental threats, securities fraud and consumer protection, Hausfeld is rapidly growing into an international powerhouse.”

- *Benchmark Plaintiff Guide*, 2012

“One of the nation’s preeminent antitrust class-action lawyers, [Michael] Hausfeld has been at the forefront of many historic and precedent-setting cases.”

-*Washingtonian Magazine*, December 2009, “Thirty Stars of the Bar” feature

In 2009, *US Legal 500* described Michael Hausfeld as “an outstanding antitrust litigator.”

-*US Legal 500*, 2009

In 2008, *US Legal 500* discussed the work of Hausfeld LLP lawyers, noting that the firm’s attorneys are “involved in the first antitrust case in the US against Chinese manufacturers, in which the plaintiffs are alleging that major Chinese pharmaceutical companies conspired to fix prices and control export output of Vitamin C. The case raises thorny issues about the government’s role in the defendants’ pricing, and its output decisions.”

US Legal 500 also discussed the firm’s attorneys’ involvement in a “nationwide class action brought by the State of Mississippi, the City of Chicago and Fairfax County, Virginia against 37 leading banks, insurance companies and brokers alleging widespread price-fixing and bid-rigging in the multi-billion dollar municipal derivatives industry dating back to 1992.”

In conclusion, *US Legal 500* noted that the firm’s attorneys’ continue “to pick up instructions on some of the most significant cases around, both purely domestic and those with an international element. This impressive success, both nationally and away from home, prompts clients to confirm that the firm manages to get ‘a high percentage of the overall work’, and that the firm is ‘recognized as one of the top firms.’ “

-*US Legal 500*, 2008

“Hausfeld haunts errant companies ranging from managed healthcare providers to makers of genetically engineered foods and bulk vitamins.”

-*Lawdragon*, January 2008

In 2007, *US Legal 500* noted that Hausfeld LLP lawyers “have been particularly active in cases surrounding the aviation industry in recent times and [are], for instance, currently representing distribution company Niagara Frontier Distribution in class-action litigation pertaining to allegations that a group of major air cargo carriers conspired to inflate airfreight surcharges, a case that has already yielded an initial settlement in the region of \$80m. Lawyers at the firm are furthermore acting on behalf of Swedish furniture chain IKEA in a proposed class action suit involving similar claims. . . . Further recent highlights include the recovery of \$28.8m for a class of retailers in a monopolization suit against tape manufacturer 3M, and a lead role in litigation surrounding an alleged hydrogen peroxide cartel.”

“Wins for Valdez victims and Holocaust survivors built [Michael Hausfeld’s] reputation.”

-*Lawdragon*, March 2006

“I want to mention on the record the extraordinary work of the Hausfeld firm in the preparation and the submission of this claim. Mr. Hausfeld in numerous other claims as well has exhibited the type of professionalism and skill that have made the Fund a success and my job that much easier. I am grateful to him for his zeal, competence and professionalism.”

-Ken Feinberg, Special Master, 9/11 Victim’s Compensation Fund.

“Antitrust defense lawyers view Michael Hausfeld as among the top three or four antitrust litigators in the country on the plaintiffs’ side. The reason: his ability to score multimillion-dollar recoveries from major corporations over alleged monopolistic and price-fixing conduct. Seen as “really a very, very aggressive” litigator, Hausfeld is not one to shy away from a tough fight and has supplemented his antitrust focus with a broad range of cases focusing on civil rights and international human rights. He represented Holocaust survivors in their suits to get World War II-era assets back from European Banks.”

-*Lawdragon*, October 2005

“More importantly, the ingenuity here comes heavily from the lawyers on the plaintiff’s side. It was they who spotted something others had missed – based on an ambiguity in a ‘foreign assistance’ statute – and ran with it, all the way to the Supreme Court. Indeed the amazing aspect of *F. Hoffman-LaRoche, Ltd. v. Empagran* is not so much the answers it provided but that some of the questions needed answering at all.”

-David Samuels, from “Matter of the Year,” *Global Competition Review*, Feb. 2005, in reference to the *Empagran* case.

“Hausfeld could be sweetness and light one moment and anger and darkness the next. He was unpredictable and at times unreasonable. . . . But he was central to any successful negotiation because he had a keen sense of where the bottom line was.”

-Stuart Eizenstat on the Holocaust cases, *The London Times*, Sept. 28, 2004.

The *Washingtonian* has listed Michael Hausfeld for the past several years as one of Washington’s 75 best lawyers, proclaiming Michael Hausfeld to be “the country’s best-known litigator of big lawsuits with hundreds of plaintiffs and multiple defendants.”

Representative Awards and Recognitions

Top Antitrust Lawyers

Washingtonian 2011-2012

Michael Hausfeld

Washington, DC’s Best Lawyers 2012

ALM

Michael Hausfeld

Co-Chair of ABA Task Force on Civil Redress
Michael Hausfeld (appointed for 2011-2012 term)

Antitrust Litigation Stars
Benchmark Plaintiff Guide 2012
Michael Hausfeld, William Butterfield, Hilary Scherrer, James Pizzirusso

DC Local Litigation Stars
Benchmark Plaintiff Guide 2012
Michael Hausfeld, William Butterfield, Hilary Scherrer, James Pizzirusso

Washington, DC *Super Lawyer*
Super Lawyers Magazine
Megan Jones

Northern California *Super Lawyer*
Super Lawyers Magazine
Michael Lehmann

500 Leading Lawyers in America
Lawdragon, May 2010
Michael Hausfeld

“40 under 40”
Legal Times, July 2009
Brian Ratner named one of the top Washington-area lawyers under forty years of age.

2009 Attorneys Who Matter
The Ethisphere Institute
Michael Hausfeld named in a short list of “attorneys who matter” in the field of corporate compliance.

2009 *Chambers USA*
Michael Hausfeld cited in category of Products Liability: Plaintiffs Fellow, Litigation Counsel of America.

Competition Law 360
Jon T. King and Hilary Scherrer, Editors

Women Antitrust Plaintiffs Attorneys
A nationwide industry organization founded by Megan E. Jones in 2008.

ABA Antitrust Section’s Transition Taskforce
The taskforce, of which Michael Hausfeld was a member, advised the incoming Obama Administration.

Legal Times Visionaries

May 19, 2008

Michael Hausfeld listed among 30 “Visionaries” in the Washington legal community.

50 Most Powerful People in DC

GQ Magazine; September, 2007

Michael Hausfeld named #40.

Fierce Sister Award

Summer 2007

Michael Hausfeld recognized for his work on the Japanese Comfort Women case.

500 Leading Plaintiffs’ Lawyers in America

Lawdragon, Winter 2007

Michael Hausfeld

International World-Shakers

The Lawyer (UK), February 8, 2007

Michael Hausfeld named as one of top 40 international lawyers “making waves” in the UK.

500 Leading Lawyers

Lawdragon, Fall 2007 & Fall 2006

Michael Hausfeld

500 Leading Litigators

Lawdragon, Spring 2006

Michael Hausfeld

100 Most Influential Lawyers

The National Law Journal, June 19, 2006

Michael Hausfeld named as one of “the most influential lawyers in America.”

Runner up for Matter of the Year

Global Competition Review, February 2005

Michael Hausfeld praised for ingenuity in how the *Empagran* case was prosecuted.

ANNEX 2

Members of the Firm

Michael D. Hausfeld

Michael Hausfeld’s career has included some of the largest and most successful class actions in the fields of human rights, discrimination and antitrust law. He has an abiding interest in social reform cases and was among the first lawyers in the U.S. to assert that sexual harassment was a form of discrimination prohibited by Title VII; he successfully tried the first case establishing that principle. He represented Native Alaskans whose lives were affected by the 1989 Exxon Valdez oil spill. Later, he negotiated a then-historic \$176 million settlement from Texaco, Inc. in a racial-bias discrimination case.

In *Friedman v. Union Bank of Switzerland*, Mr. Hausfeld represented a class of Holocaust victims whose assets were wrongfully retained by private Swiss banks during and after World War II. The case raised novel issues of international banking law and international human rights law. In a separate case, he also successfully represented the Republic of Poland, the Czech Republic, the Republic of Belarus, the Republic of Ukraine and the Russian Federation on issues of slave and forced labor for both Jewish and non-Jewish victims of Nazi persecution. He currently represents Khulumani and other NGOs in a litigation involving the abuses under apartheid law in South Africa.

Mr. Hausfeld has a long record of successful litigation in the antitrust field, on behalf of individuals and classes, in cases involving monopolization, tie-ins, exclusive dealings and price fixing. He was a member of the ABA Antitrust Section’s Transition Taskforce, which advised the incoming Obama Administration. Mr. Hausfeld is or has been co-lead counsel in antitrust cases against manufacturers of genetically engineered foods, managed healthcare companies, bulk vitamin manufacturers, technology companies and international industrial cartels. He is involved in ongoing investigations of antitrust cases abroad and pioneers private enforcement competition laws globally. He was the only private lawyer permitted to attend and represent the interests of consumers worldwide in the 2003 closed hearings by the EU Commission in the Microsoft case.

Mr. Hausfeld has been featured in many articles and surveys. *The National Law Journal* has recognized him as one of the “Top 100 Influential Lawyers in America” and the *Legal Times* named Mr. Hausfeld among the top 30 “Visionaries” in the Washington legal community in 2008. *The New York Times* referred to Mr. Hausfeld as one of the nation’s “most prominent antitrust lawyers,” and in 2009 the *Washingtonian* named him one of thirty “Stars of the Bar.” Most recently, the *Global Competition Review* stated that Hausfeld LLP “is clearly recognized as one of the best plaintiffs firms in the country.” In the past, the magazine has reported that he “consistently brings in the biggest judgments in the history of law” and that he is “a Washington lawyer determined to change the world -- and succeeding.” Mr. Hausfeld is one of thirty negotiators profiled in *Done Deal: Insights from Interviews with the World’s Best Negotiators*, by Michael Benoliel, Ed.D. He has been described by one of the country’s leading civil rights columnists as an “extremely penetrating lawyer” and by a colleague (in a *Washington Post* article) as a lawyer who “has a very inventive mind when it comes to litigation. He thinks of things most lawyers don’t because they have originality pounded out of them in law school.” The US Legal 500 in 2008 stated, “The outstanding Mike Hausfeld is a titan of the antitrust bar.”

Education

- Brooklyn College, B.A., *cum laude*, 1966
- National Law Center, The George Washington University, J.D., with honors, 1969

Bar Admissions

- District of Columbia
- New York

Affiliations & Honors

- Named by *Legal Times* among 30 “Visionaries” in the Washington legal community, 2008
- Named by The Ethisphere Institute in a short list of “attorneys who matter” in the field of corporate compliance, 2009
- Cited in the 2009 edition of *Chambers USA* in the Products Liability category
- Named to *SmartCEO Magazine* Legal Elite List, 2009
- Legal Times Fierce Sister Award, for work on the Japanese Comfort Women case, 2007
- Cited by *GQ* as one of “the 50 Most Powerful People in DC,” 2007
- Named in *The Lawyer’s* 2007 “International World-shakers” list of 40 international lawyers “making waves” in the UK
- 100 Most Influential Lawyers, *The National Law Journal*, 2006
- Named repeatedly by *LawDragon* magazine as one of the 500 leading lawyers in the United States
- U.S. Department of Energy Human Spirit Award, presented “in tribute to a person who understands the obligation to seek truth and act on it is not the burden of some, but of all; it is universal.”
- Plaintiffs Fellow, Litigation Counsel of America
- B’Nai Brith Humanitarian of the Year Award, 2002
- Simon Wiesenthal Center Award for Distinguished Service
- Adjunct Professor, George Washington University Law School, 1996-1998
- Taught at Georgetown University Law Center, 1980-1987
- Member, Board of Directors, The George Washington University Law School

In the News – a sample of recent citations

- *Washingtonian* magazine names Mr. Hausfeld one of thirty “Stars of the Bar.” December 2009.
- Bloomberg quotes Hausfeld on municipal derivatives investigation. November 2009.
- *Business Week*: “Europe Inc. takes aim at price-fixers.” October 2009.
- Reuters: Hausfeld LLP filing suit on behalf of Baltimore and Mississippi municipalities. October 2009.
- *New York Times*: “N.C.A.A. Sued Over Licensing Practices.” July 21, 2009.
- *Associated Press*: “NY Judge Rules in Favor of 1970s Apartheid Victims.” April 8, 2009.

Selected Publications

- “Competition Law Claims – A Developing Story.” *The European Antitrust Review* 2010
- “The United States Heightens Plaintiff’s Burden of Proof on Class Certification: A Response.” *Global Competition Litigation Review*, Volume 2 Issue 4/2009
- “Global Enforcement of Anticompetitive Conduct.” *The Sedona Conference Journal*, Fall 2009
- “Observations from the Field: ACPERA’s First Five Years.” *The Sedona Conference Journal*, Fall 2009

- “Twombly, Iqbal and the Prisoner’s Pleading Dilemma.” Law360, October 22, 2009
 - “The Value of ACPERA.” Law360, June 2, 2009
 - “Collective Redress for Competition Law Claimants.” *The European Antitrust Review 2008*
 - “Managing Multi-district Litigation.” *The Antitrust Review of the Americas 2008*
 - “A Victim’s Culture.” *European Business Law Review*, 2007
-

Michael P. Lehmann

Mr. Lehmann brings to the firm 29 years of experience as a business litigator, with a practice that ranged from class action litigation to business litigation on behalf of individual clients, and from extensive regulatory work before federal, state and international bodies to domestic and international arbitration.

Prior to joining Hausfeld LLP, Mr. Lehmann had worked since graduating from law school at what became Furth Lehmann LLP, where he eventually served as Managing Partner and in recent years has served as lead counsel for direct or indirect purchaser classes in numerous antitrust cases.

Education

- A.B. 1974, University of California at Berkeley
- J.D. 1977, Hastings College of the Law

Bar Admissions

- California

Affiliations

- American Bar Association
-

Richard S. Lewis

Mr. Lewis has been appointed to serve as co-lead counsel in mass tort and product liability class action cases including *In re StarLink Corn Products* (N.D. Ill) (asserting claims by farmers for genetic modification contamination of the U.S. corn supply) and *In re PPA* (asserting claims by users of unsafe over-the-counter medicines). He has also been appointed to the MDL Steering Committee in *In re Prempro Products Liability Litigation* and in *In re Chinese-Manufactured Drywall Products Liability Litigation*. In 2010, Mr. Lewis was a member of the trial team that obtained a comprehensive remediation and property damages verdict for seven Virginia homeowners. Furthermore, Mr. Lewis handled various experts in the *Daubert* briefing and argument; and was successful in excluding significant portions of the defense experts’ opinions.

In addition, Mr. Lewis served as lead counsel in numerous actions to obtain medical monitoring and property damage relief for communities exposed to toxic chemicals from hazardous waste disposal practices or unsafe drugs. These include *In re Diet Drug Litigation* (Fen-Phen), which resulted in a \$4 billion settlement providing medical monitoring in addition to individual personal injury awards, *Farnum*

v. *Shell*, an oil spill pollution case in Barbados against international oil companies, that resulted in a settlement providing property damage compensation for 26 farmers and landowners, and *Harman v. Lipari*, a Superfund case that resulted in a settlement providing medical monitoring for thousands of residents who lived on or played near a landfill. He has litigated both individual and class childhood lead poisoning cases and is also handling environmental cases in India, and South Africa.

Education

- Tufts University, B.A., *cum laude*, 1976
- University of Michigan, M.P.H., 1981
- University of Pennsylvania, J.D., *cum laude*, 1986; *Law Review* comments editor

Bar Admissions

- District of Columbia

Affiliations & Honors

- Law clerk, after law school, for the Honorable Stanley S. Brotman, U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey
- National Finalist for the 2010 Lawdragon 500, an annual guide to the “500 Leading Lawyers in America”

William P. Butterfield

A partner at Hausfeld LLP, Mr. Butterfield concentrates on antitrust litigation and is an internationally recognized authority on electronic discovery. Mr. Butterfield’s recent achievements include settlements of over \$120 million in a lawsuit alleging output restrictions in the wood products industry (*In Re OSB Antitrust Litigation*, (E.D. Pa.)), and almost \$100 million in an antitrust case involving the chemical industry (*In re Hydrogen Peroxide Antitrust Litigation*, (E.D. Pa.)).

Previously, Mr. Butterfield was one of the principal attorneys involved in nationwide litigation challenging lending practices conducted by one of the nation’s largest sub-prime lenders. In that case, Mr. Butterfield worked extensively with the FTC and was responsible for bringing nationwide media and Congressional attention to lending practices conducted by Associates Finance. The plaintiffs and FTC eventually settled with Citigroup (which had acquired Associates Finance) for \$240 million (*In Re Citigroup Loan Cases*, J.C.C.P. 4197).

Mr. Butterfield was also a principal attorney for the plaintiff classes in *In re Prudential Securities Limited Partnerships Litigation*, which settled for \$137 million, and *In re PaineWebber Securities Litigation*, which settled for \$200 million.

Mr. Butterfield has been a leader in the field of e-discovery since the early 1990s, when he helped design and implement an electronic document repository to manage more than 15 million pages of documents produced in a complex securities case. In 2005, Mr. Butterfield testified before the U.S. Judicial Conference Rules Committee regarding proposed electronic discovery amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Mr. Butterfield is on the Steering Committee of The Sedona Conference® Working Group on Electronic Document Retention and Production. He is also a member of the Sedona Conference® Working Group on International Electronic Information Management, Discovery and Disclosure. Mr. Butterfield serves on the faculty of Georgetown University Law Center’s

Advanced E-Discovery Institute and the Masters Conference Advisory Board. He is also an adjunct professor at American University, Washington College of Law, where he teaches a class on E-Discovery. Mr. Butterfield has testified as an expert witness on e-discovery issues, and speaks frequently on that topic domestically and abroad. Recently, he served as co-chair for the 12th Annual Sedona Conference® on Complex Litigation, and as a participant at the Duke University School of Law: 2010 Advisory Committee Conference on Civil Rules.

Mr. Butterfield began his legal career as an assistant prosecuting attorney for Montgomery County, Ohio. In private practice, he has served as outside counsel for federal banking agencies, where he investigated and litigated claims in connection with failed financial institutions. He has also defended individuals and companies in federal courts and administrative tribunals in matters involving securities and commodities fraud, insider trading, takeover litigation, broker-dealer violations and registration issues.

Education

- University of Toledo, College of Law, J.D., 1978
- Bowling Green State University, B.A., 1975

Bar Admissions

- District of Columbia
- Ohio (inactive)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit
- United States District Court of Maryland
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia
- United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan

Affiliations & Honors

- The Sedona Conference,® Steering Committee on E-Discovery
- Adjunct Professor, American University, Washington College of Law
- Georgetown University Law Center's Advanced E-Discovery Institute, Faculty Member
- Federal Judicial Conference, E-Discovery Seminar for Federal Judges, Faculty Member
- Masters Conference Advisory Board, Member

Publications

- William P. Butterfield, Conor R. Crowley, Melinda R. Coolidge, "Diving Deeper to Catch Bigger Fish," DESI III Conference, June 8, 2009,
- William P. Butterfield, Editor-in-Chief, *The Case for Cooperation*, 10 Sedona Conf. Journal, 339-362 (2009 Supp.)
- Thomas Y. Allman, William P. Butterfield, et al., *Preservation, Management and Identification of Sources of Information that are Not Reasonably Accessible*, 10 Sedona Conf. Journal at 281-298 (2009)

Speeches and Presentations

- "The Rule 26(f) Conference" PLI: E-Discovery Guidance 2010, San Francisco, CA, December 5, 2010, Panelist
- "2010: A Sanctions Odyssey" Georgetown Law Seventh Annual Advanced E-Discovery Institute: Identifying Today's Problems & Tomorrow's Solutions, Washington, DC, November 19, 2010, Panelist

- “Social Media: E-Discovery in a Web 2.0 World” The Masters Conference, Washington, DC, October 4, 2010, Panel Moderator
- Federal Judicial Conference E-Discovery Seminar for Federal Judges, Washington, DC, September 2010, Faculty
- “Duke Report from the 2010 Conference on Civil Litigation,” Sedona Conference® Webinar, June 2010, Panelist
- “The Truth about Metadata.” ESI Bytes Podcast, June 2010, Speaker
- “Duke University School of Law: 2010 Advisory Committee Conference on Civil Rules,” Durham, NC, May 2010, Participant and Panelist
- “12th Annual Sedona Conference on Complex Litigation,” Phoenix, AZ, April 2010, Conference Co-chair
- “Implementing and Using Joint Repositories,” LegalTech, New York, NY, February 2010, Panelist
- “Controlling E-Discovery Costs in Smaller Stakes Litigation,” Georgetown Law Sixth Annual Advanced E-Discovery Institute: Identifying Today’s Problems & Tomorrow’s Solutions, Washington, DC, November 2009, Panelist
- “The First Year of the Cooperation Proclamation,” Sedona Conference Webinar, November 2009, Co-Presenter
- “Paper or Plastic: Is E-Discovery Optional?” Dayton Bench/Bar Conference, Dayton, OH, November 2009, Featured Speaker
- “Ethical Issues for Attorneys in Electronic Discovery,” Master’s Conference, Washington, DC, October 2009, Panelist
- “Risks, Rewards & Repositories: Addressing the Use of Joint Repositories in Discovery,” Sedona Conference Webinar, October 2009, Co-Presenter
- Federal Judicial Center/Georgetown University Law Center E-Discovery Seminar, Washington, DC, September 2009, Faculty
- “Preservation, Legal Holds & Accessibility,” Georgetown Law E-Discovery Training Academy, August 2009, Panelist
- “Commentary on Inactive Information Sources,” Sedona Conference Webinar, August 2009, Co-Presenter
- “Supporting Search and Sense making for Electronically Stored Information in Discovery Proceedings,” DESI III: Third International Workshop, Barcelona, Spain, June 2009, Speaker
- “Third Annual Program on Getting Ahead of the E-Discovery Curve,” Sedona Conference, Philadelphia, PA, March 2009, Faculty
- “Preservation, Management, and Identification of Sources of Information That Are Not Reasonably Accessible,” Fios Legaltech Luncheon, New York, NY, February 2009, Speaker
- Georgetown Law Center Fifth Annual Advanced E-Discovery Institute, Washington, DC, November 2008, Faculty
- “The Case for Cooperation in E Discovery,” Sedona Conference, Palm Springs, CA, November 2008, Panelist
- “Mini Sedona Session,” American Records Management Association Annual Meeting, Las Vegas, NV, October 2008, Panelist
- “Ethics & E-discovery: ‘Reasonable Inquiry’ in the Wake of *Qualcomm v. Broadcom*,” ABA Section of Litigation and Center for CLE Teleconference and Live Audio Webcast, September 2008, Panelist
- “Preservation, Management and Identification of Sources of Information that are Not Reasonably Accessible,” Sedona Conference Webinar, September 2008, Co-Presenter
- “E-Discovery in Antitrust Lawsuits and Investigations,” Strafford Legal Teleconference, September 2008, Panelist

- “Spoliation Sanctions,” 2008 E-Discovery Training Program, sponsored by the Federal Judicial Center and Georgetown Law, Washington, DC, June 2008, Panelist
- “E-Discovery in Antitrust Lawsuits and FTC/DOJ Investigation: Managing and Producing Electronic Information under the Amended Federal Rules,” Strafford Legal Teleconference, February 2008, Panelist
- “Preservation Duties Regarding Inaccessible ESI,” Sedona Conference, Hilton Head, SC, November 2007, Panelist
- “The Digital Pre-Trial,” National College of District Attorneys, Columbia, SC, September 2007, Speaker
- “Inaccessible ESI – Disclosure and Preservation Obligations,” Sedona Conference, Phoenix, AZ, May 2007, Panelist
- “Plaintiffs’ Bar Perspectives on New E-Discovery Rules,” Legaltech Conference, New York, NY, January 2007, Panelist
- “Bench/Bar Introduction to Pending Electronic Discovery Amendments,” Federal Bar Association Conference, Washington, DC, October 2006, Panelist
- “Electronic Discovery in Criminal Cases,” National District Attorney’s Association Conference, Santa Fe, NM, August 2006, Principal Speaker
- “Document Preservation and Spoliation,” IQPC E Discovery Conference, Toronto, Canada, May 2006, Panelist
- “Electronic Discovery Amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,” Legaltech Conference, New York, NY, January 2006, Panelist

Expert Testimony

Ischemia Research and Education Foundation v. Pfizer, Inc., No. 1-04CV-026653, Cal. Superior Court, Santa Clara County. Retained by plaintiffs as expert witness regarding litigation hold and spoliation issues involving electronically stored information.

Legislative Testimony

U.S. Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure regarding proposed electronic discovery amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Christopher L. Lebsock

A partner at Hausfeld LLP, Christopher L. Lebsock concentrates in civil litigation and trial practice. He frequently represents consumers and businesses in antitrust and consumer litigation. He has litigated cases against antitrust violators in the technology sector, including cartels involving Dynamic Random Access Memory, TFT-LCD panels used in monitors and televisions, and cathode-ray tubes. Mr. Lebsock is also currently representing foreign and domestic consumers and businesses in their efforts to recover from airlines that overcharged them for passenger air travel between the United States and Asia/Oceania.

These and other cases in which Mr. Lebsock is involved have a significant international aspect to them, and given the global nature of trade today, future antitrust litigation will almost certainly affect commerce around the globe. Mr. Lebsock is actively engaged in a truly global antitrust practice—one that provides businesses and consumers victimized by cartel activity an opportunity to press and defend their rights in jurisdictions throughout the world. He regularly consults with clients, trade associations, and law firms in Asia about competition issues.

Domestically, Mr. Lebsock recently tried *Savaglio v. Wal-Mart* on behalf of 119,000 hourly employees that were deprived of their meal periods by Wal-Mart. Following a four month trial, the jury returned a verdict in favor of Mr. Lebsock's clients in the amount of \$172 million. This achievement stands as one of the most satisfying of his career, due primarily to the vast inequality in the employment relationship between Wal-Mart and its hourly employees. Notably the jury's award included \$115 million in punitive damages against Wal-Mart for the way it consciously and systematically disregarded the rights of its employees. He represents clients in the trial courts throughout the United States and on appeal.

Mr. Lebsock also represents investors in matters concerning United States securities laws, and recently represented a public company recover liquidity of its auction rate securities holdings from its investment advisor. Occasionally, Mr. Lebsock represents individuals that have been seriously injured by the negligence or wrongdoing of others.

Education

- University of Colorado, Boulder, B.A., 1993; Phi Beta Kappa
- University of California, Hastings College of the Law, J.D., 1996

Bar Admissions

- California Supreme Court
- Northern District of California
- Eastern District of California
- Central District of California
- Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals

Affiliations & Honors

- State Bar of California, Member
- American Bar Association, Member
- *Hastings Constitutional Law Quarterly*, former Senior Managing Editor

Publications

- "Evolving Class Certification Standards in Federal Courts," The Journal of State Bar of California Litigation Section, November 3, 2010;
- "Dismissal Standards Following Bell Atlantic v. Twombly - A One-Year Retrospective." *The Antitrust Review of the Americas* (2009);
- "Pimco: Another Guidepost for Class Certification." *Law 360* (23 September 2009)

Andrew B. Bullion

Andrew Bullion, a Hausfeld LLP partner based in London (on secondment), has extensive complex litigation experience representing both plaintiffs and defendants, from client retention and servicing, case inception, discovery and motion practice, through trial and post-trial work. Prior to joining the firm, Bullion spent several years as a litigator in private practice in Philadelphia, handling complex commercial matters, including antitrust, tort and intellectual property litigation. During law school Bullion clerked at the Bureau of Competition of the United States Federal Trade Commission under

Bureau Director William J. Baer and Chairman Robert Pitofksy. Bullion also worked for Advokatfirman Vinge KB, Sweden's largest law firm.

Bullion currently represents major corporations in large-scale international antitrust litigations. These include matters before United States federal courts (*inter alia* versus the air cargo services price-fixing cartel) and the High Court in London, England (versus the carglass and the paraffin wax price-fixing and market allocation cartels) the Competition Appeals Tribunal in London (*inter alia* versus the carbon and graphite products cartel) and the Court of Justice of Rotterdam, the Netherlands (versus the lift & escalator cartel). Among these corporate clients are European automotive manufacturers, several national railways, members of the Forbes Global 500 and Global Brand Top 150 retailers. Bullion also is currently defending one of the world's top freight forwarders against allegations of price-fixing, in a complex litigation brought in the E.D.N.Y. against nearly 60 freight-forwarder defendants. Bullion also provides antitrust consulting to several multinational companies and co-drafted the internal litigation policy of one of the world's largest retailers.

Bullion is fluent in the Swedish language, is a licensed to practice as an attorney in the United States and as a solicitor in the courts of England and Wales, and is a member of AIJA (Association Internationale des Jeunes Avocats – International Association of Young Lawyers) and the American Bar Association's Antitrust Section. Bullion is a regular speaker before bar groups, trade associations and business groups on antitrust and cartel issues.

Education

- Villanova University, B.A., 1989
- Villanova University School of Law, J.D., 1996
- Oxford Institute of Legal Practice (Oxford-Oxford Brookes University): Administered Study Program 2010-2011; Certificate of Completion 2011
- England & Wales Qualified Solicitors Licensing Transfer Test March 2011
- City Law School, London: Management Curriculum 2011
- University of Oxford: Economics Curriculum 2011-2012

Bar Admissions

- Solicitor of the Supreme Court of England and Wales
- District of Columbia
- New Jersey
- Pennsylvania
- District Courts for District of Columbia, Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the New Jersey District
- Third Circuit Court of Appeals
- United States Tax Court.

Jon T. King

Mr. King is a partner at Hausfeld LLP's San Francisco office, and represents plaintiffs in numerous high-profile competition matters, including antitrust cases, and in other complex litigation. He

has been recognized as a top plaintiff's attorney by Levick Strategic Communications, one of the nation's leading crisis management firms for corporations and individuals facing high-profile legal issues.

Mr. King currently is one of the lead attorneys for the plaintiffs in *In re NCAA Student-Athlete Name & Licensing Litigation*, a nationwide class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of CA. In this action that has drawn intense national interest, also known as the *O'Bannon* and *Keller* litigation, Mr. King represents putative classes of current and former NCAA men's Division I basketball and football players who assert antitrust and right of publicity claims and contend that the NCAA, Electronic Arts, and others unlawfully used the players' images and likenesses in video-games in addition to a host of other products.

Mr. King has been quoted and cited in numerous publications regarding sports as well as competition law matters, including in *The New York Times*, *USA Today*, *Sports Illustrated*, *Forbes*, *ESPN.com*, *Bloomberg* news, *SportsBusiness Journal*, *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, *Yahoo! Sports*, and has been interviewed on NPR Radio, CBS Radio, and numerous other forums.

He began his legal career in Los Angeles at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, one of the largest law firms in the world, where he worked on matters for the National Football League and various entertainment industry companies. Mr. King has counseled and advised athletes in numerous other matters, including licensing deals, labor relations issues, eligibility, and endorsement / personal appearance issues.

Mr. King currently represents the Golden Gate Bridge, Highway & Transportation District, the governmental entity that operates the world famous Golden Gate Bridge and various transit systems, as one of the plaintiffs in *In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1663 (D.N.J.), a case that has resulted in approximately \$220 million in settlements to date. Mr. King also counseled a federal governmental entity, a leading California utility company, and various large corporations with respect to settlement rights in that matter. Other active matters of Mr. King's include nationwide antitrust litigation regarding the LCD, automotive lighting, and railroad industries.

Other current plaintiff clients of Mr. King's include a major publically-traded Silicon Valley corporation that has filed arbitration against its investment bank regarding alleged wrongful financial advice with respect to \$10 million in investments in auction rate securities.

Last year, Mr. King served as plaintiff's co-lead trial counsel in a case in regarding the insurance industry in which the plaintiff obtained a jury verdict four times greater than the last settlement offer, prior to confidential settlement after trial. Mr. King also served as co-lead arbitration counsel in a two week arbitration regarding the distribution of financial products. Recent depositions includes taking the depositions of several top executives of Union Pacific railroad in Omaha in *In re Rail Freight Fuel Surcharge Antitrust Litigation*, and taking the depositions in Taipei, Taiwan of several of the world's top automotive lighting executives in *In re Aftermarket Automotive Lighting Products Antitrust Litigation*.

With respect to international disputes, Mr. King represented the leading French consumer association UFC-Que Choisir in connection with its efforts to gather evidence to prepare European antitrust litigation against Intel Corporation related to the litigation in the United States captioned *In re*

Intel Corporation Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1717 (D. Del.), in which plaintiffs allege monopolization of the market for x86 microprocessors. Mr. King also worked on an international arbitration matter for ARCO Oil Company relating to a contractual dispute.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. King practiced antitrust law for eight years at The Furth Firm LLP, a San Francisco plaintiffs' firm, and for one year at Cohen Milstein Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C., out of which the Hausfeld firm was born. At those firms, Mr. King has worked on dozens of direct and indirect purchaser actions that resulted in hundreds of millions of dollars in settlements. At The Furth Firm, Mr. King was appointed as plaintiffs' interim liaison counsel in the complex antitrust case captioned *Hydrogen Peroxide Cases* (San Francisco Superior Court, JCCP No. 4416), and he has been quoted on antitrust class action topics in *Rubber & Plastics News* and *Competition Law 360*. Mr. King also served on the 2009 Editorial Advisory Board for *Competition Law360* as the only representative from a plaintiffs' firm.

Mr. King also has counseled numerous individual, corporate and governmental entities regarding proposed mergers in several industries, has counseled a leading California winery with respect to a distributor dispute before a state alcoholic beverage commission. With respect to merger issues, Mr. King advised the City and County of San Francisco regarding the proposed merger of two hospital groups, advised numerous individuals, a hospital association, a medical center, a doctors' association and an insurance company trade association with respect to challenges to a proposed insurance company merger, and has consulted on issues regarding the merger of media entities.

Mr. King also works as a member of the firm's International Practice, and has conducted recent meetings in China, Japan, and Korea in conjunction with several of the firm's international litigation matters.

Education

- Santa Clara University, B.A.
- University of California, Hastings College of Law, San Francisco, *cum laude*, J.D.; editor-in-chief, *Hastings Law Journal*; member, Order of the Coif

Bar Admissions

- All California state courts
- The U.S. District Courts for the Northern, Central and Eastern Districts of California
- The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
- *Pro hac vice* admission to Alaska, Arizona, Delaware, Connecticut, New Jersey, New York, Oklahoma, Texas, and Washington

Affiliations & Honors

- Member, 2009 Editorial Advisory Board, *Competition Law360*
- Clerked for the Honorable John M. Munter in San Francisco Superior Court

Speeches and Presentations:

- American Bar Association, 2010 National Convention, ABA Forum on the Entertainment and Sports Industries, panelist on "*From Music, Film and Art to Motorcycles and Other Sports: Hot Issues and Disputes in Entertainment, Art and Sports Licensing Deals.*"

- Santa Clara University Law School, 2010 Sports Law Symposium, panelist on “*Current Sports Law Issues: Concussions, Steroids, and the Use of Player Images*”
 - Florida Coastal University School of Law 2010 Sports Law Panel, panelist on “*Exploitation of the Student-Athlete? Evaluating Bloom, Oliver, O’Bannon and Keller*”
 - Santa Clara University Law School, 2010, panelist with General Counsel of Sony Computer Entertainment America at event on intersection of intellectual property law and sports and entertainment industries
-

Brian A. Ratner

Mr. Ratner, a partner at the firm, has extensive experience representing domestic and foreign businesses and individuals in complex litigation at the trial and appellate levels. He is particularly experienced in the prosecution of antitrust litigation in federal and state courts throughout the United States, on behalf of direct and indirect purchasers alleging price-fixing and monopolization.

Mr. Ratner was named to the Legal Times 2009 “40 under 40” list, which recognized rising legal stars expected to play a key role in the Greater Washington legal community for years to come. He was also recently featured in The Legal 500 where Hausfeld LLP was named as a Tier 1 firm nationwide in plaintiff antitrust litigation.

Mr. Ratner has litigated the matter of *In Re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation* (D.D.C.) on behalf of two certified classes of vitamin direct purchasers who were overcharged as a result of a ten-year global price-fixing and market allocation conspiracy. The case settled for over \$1 billion. Mr. Ratner was a key member of a 2003 trial team in the case, in which a jury awarded a class of choline chloride purchasers more than \$148 million in trebled damages - the twelfth largest U.S. jury verdict in 2003. Mr. Ratner has also litigated, among other matters: *Empagran, S.A. et al. v. F. Hoffmann-LaRoche, Ltd., et al.* (D.D.C.), a case alleging a global vitamins price-fixing and market allocation conspiracy on behalf of foreign purchasers (remanded by U.S. Supreme Court); *Oncology & Radiation Associates v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.* (D.D.C.), alleging monopolization against a drug manufacturer, which settled for \$65 million; *Molecular Diagnostics Laboratories v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc., et al.* (D.D.C.), alleging unlawful monopolization on behalf of a class of purchasers of an enzyme used in DNA amplification, human-genome research, and medical diagnostics, which settled for \$33 million; and *In Re Vitamin C Antitrust Litigation* (E.D.N.Y.), alleging a conspiracy by Chinese manufacturers to fix prices and control the supply of vitamin C for export.

Mr. Ratner’s substantial international work has included representing cartel victims in settlement negotiations and European courts. He currently represents purchasers of paraffin wax, air freight services, car glass, and marine hose who are seeking to recover losses in the UK as a result of price-fixing cartels. His work in the marine hose matter helped lead to a landmark private global settlement agreement with cartelist Parker ITR. Mr. Ratner has also lectured, organized conferences, and published articles on issues such as the private civil enforcement of competition laws and the mechanisms for collective redress around the world.

Prior to joining Hausfeld LLP, Mr. Ratner was a partner at Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, PLLC, in its antitrust and international practice groups. He began his career at Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue, where he focused on complex civil and commercial litigation, antitrust counseling, and merger clearance work related to the CBS/Viacom and AOL/Time Warner mergers.

Education

- University of Indiana, Bloomington, B.A., 1996
- University of Pittsburgh School of Law, J.D., 1999; managing editor, *Journal of Law and Commerce*

Bar Admissions

- Pennsylvania
- New Jersey
- District of Columbia
- The United States Supreme Court
- Several federal courts

Honors and Publications

- Featured in *The Legal 500* where Hausfeld LLP was named as a Tier 1 firm in plaintiff antitrust litigation, June 15, 2010
- Named in the 2009 *Legal Times* “40 under 40” list recognizing rising legal stars in Washington, July 14, 2009
- Co-Author, “Principles and Objectives of Formal and Informal Settlements in EU Competition Cases: The Claimant’s Perspective,” paper submitted for the European University Institute’s 13th Annual Competition Law & Policy Workshop, publication forthcoming
- Co-Author, “A Proposal for a Transitional Forum,” submitted for Antitrust Claims Against Foreign Firms and Cartels conference (Law Seminars International), September 7-8, 2006

Megan E. Jones

Partner Megan Jones specializes in recovering damages for corporate victims of antitrust cartels. Recoveries from the cases she has been involved in total over half a billion dollars: *In re Polyester Staple Antitrust Litigation* (W.D.N.C) (\$63.5 million on behalf of the class); *In re Compact Disc Antitrust Litigation* (C.D.Ca.) (over \$50 million on behalf of class); *In re Rubber Chemicals Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ca.) (over \$100 million on behalf of class); *In re MMA Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Pa.) (over \$20 million on behalf of class); *In re EPDM Antitrust Litigation* (D. Conn.) (\$81 million on behalf of the class). Ms. Jones was also involved in the negotiation of a \$300 million global settlement with Bayer (which resolved three cases: EPDM, Rubber Chemicals and NBR), and drafted the innovative settlement agreement itself.

In 2010, Ms. Jones was the only female antitrust attorney who had two of her cases featured in the *National Law Journal’s* Plaintiffs’ Hot List, which named the top twelve plaintiffs’ law firms in the U.S.

In 2011, *The Legal 500*, which provides comprehensive worldwide coverage on legal services, recommended Ms. Jones for having a “good understanding of business and operational environments.”

Despite the fact that her typical cases include millions of pages of evidence, several multinational parties, and a multitude of counsel, Ms. Jones is legendary for relentlessly focusing on the litigation endgame – to develop and find the facts necessary to win the case. For example, faced with over 1.5 million pages of documents produced by the opposing side, Ms. Jones organized her team to identify the 2300 key documents in the case in under four months’ time. Ms. Jones then used these 2300 key

documents to depose over 20 witnesses, uphold a class certification decision at the Circuit level, defeat summary judgment at the district court and prepare for trial (which ultimately settled successfully on the courthouse steps). Marshaling scores of co-counsel, Jones whittled a mountain of evidence down to what was needed to win – and then did.

Based on her experience, Ms. Jones has been asked to speak on antitrust matters around the world. She was asked to speak in Sydney, Australia at the American Bar Association's 2010 "*Cross-Border Collaboration, Convergence and Conflict: The Internationalization of Domestic Law and Its Consequences*" with other luminaries from the U.S. and Australian Bar, including Hon. Justice Antonin Scalia; Sir Anthony Mason AC KBE AC, Former Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia; The Honorable Jeffrey Bleich, U.S. Ambassador to Australia; Graeme Samuel, AO, Chairman of the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission; Tony D'Aloisio, Chairman of the Australian Securities and Investment Commission; and many others from the profession in Australia and the U.S. Ms. Jones also spoke at an event co-sponsored by the American Bar Association and German Bar Association in June 2011 in Munich, Germany ("*Successfully Navigating Hazardous Waters: The Second Annual Conference on Transatlantic Deals and Disputes.*"). Ms. Jones has also spoken at what is widely known as the "crown jewel" of antitrust conferences, at the American Bar Association's *Antitrust Masters Course*.

Experienced with high dollar litigation and with a results-driven management style, it is little wonder that Ms. Jones was tapped to be Chairwoman of Hausfeld LLP's New Case Committee at the firm, which is responsible for approving all new cases filed by the firm. Jones is skilled at evaluating potential cases, thoroughly vetting them for their strengths and weaknesses.

When electronic discovery came on the scene, Ms. Jones quickly adapted to the new paradigm of finding evidence. Jones is a member of The Sedona Conference®, which is composed of leading jurists, lawyers, experts, academics and others, at the cutting edge of issues in electronic discovery. She is the co-author of *The Sedona Conference Glossary: E-Discovery and Digital Management* (2nd Ed). (Dec. 2007) and *Navigating the Vendor Proposal Process: Best Practices for the Selection of Electronic Discovery Vendors*, and was recently selected to be on the drafting team of Discovery 2.0, the conference's next work on how discovery should be conducted. Ms. Jones was also featured in a podcast about cost-shifting in electronic discovery with the Honorable James C. Francis, IV, Magistrate Judge from the Southern District of New York, and Robert W. Trenchard, the chair of Wilmer Hale's E-Discovery Committee.

Ms. Jones also knows that in litigation, experience is the best teacher. Faced with organizations that were not addressing issues from the cartel victims' perspective, she created an organization herself dedicated to antitrust practitioners exchanging best practices and information. She is the creator and founder of *Women Antitrust Plaintiffs' Attorneys* (www.womenantitrustattorneys.com), a national organization for women who primarily practice cartel law on behalf of victims, attracting luminaries within the antitrust field to its annual conference. This conference has grown in popularity, and in 2009, representatives from over twenty law firms from across the U.S. attended. The growing impact of this organization is demonstrated by the caliber of speakers it is attracting: in addition to having four federal judges as speakers, the Keynote Address at the 2010 conference was given by Wendy H. Waszmer, Counsel to Assistant Attorney General Christine A. Varney from the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division.

Ms. Jones is recognized as a specialist in antitrust civil enforcement for victims of cartels, and has been asked to speak by many prestigious legal organizations on this topic.

Speeches and Presentations

- Speaker, Class Action Symposium, Georgetown Law Center, April 11, 2011
- Speaker, “The Life of an International Cartel: A Six-Part Practical Series, Part III: Follow-On Civil Price Fixing,” American Bar Association teleconference, February 4, 2011
- Speaker, American Bar Association’s *Antitrust Masters Course V*, September 30, 2010

Education

- North Carolina State University in Raleigh, NC, *magna cum laude*, B.A., 1995
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Law, J.D., 1999

Bar Admissions

- District of Columbia
- Maryland
- North Carolina

Affiliations

- Founder of Women Antitrust Plaintiffs’ Attorney network group, 2008

Publications

- “*E Discovery Today: The Fault Lies Not In Our Rules . . .*,” paper presented at Duke University School of Law: 2010 Advisory Committee Conference on Civil Rules; publication pending in *Federal Courts Law Review (FCLR)*
- “Giving Electronic Discovery a Chance to Grow Up,” *The National Law Journal*, December 15, 2009
- “Observations from the Field: ACPERA’s First Five Years,” *The Sedona Conference Journal*, Fall 2009
- CLE Speaker, “E-Discovery in Antitrust Lawsuits and FTC/DOJ Investigations: Managing and Producing Electronic Information Under the Amended Federal Rules,” March 2009
- *Antitrust Law Developments*, 7th Edition, co-author of chapter on Non-Price Vertical Restraint, published by the American Bar Association, 2008
- Co-author of *The Sedona Conference Glossary: E-Discovery and Digital Information Management* (2nd edition), December 2007
- Co-author of *Navigating the Vendor Proposal Process: Best Practices for the Selection of Electronic Discovery Vendors*, published by The Sedona Conference
- Author of “Litigator 101,” an ABA series regarding best practices in drafting discovery

Hilary K. Scherrer

Ms. Scherrer is a partner in Hausfeld LLP’s Washington, DC office. She has extensive experience representing businesses and individuals in antitrust, consumer fraud, and other complex litigation matters, at both the trial court and appellate court levels. She also has experience working on international settlement matters.

Ms. Scherrer is one of the principal attorneys in several high-profile domestic and international antitrust cases. She manages all day-to-day aspects of the litigation in *In re Chocolate Confectionary Antitrust Litigation* (M.D. Pa.), a case alleging that the major chocolate manufacturers, Nestle, Mars,

Cadbury and Hershey conspired to fix the prices of chocolate candy and *Animalfeeds International Corp. et al. v. Stolt-Nielsen SA et al.*, an arbitration regarding alleged customer allocation and bid rigging in the parcel tankers shipping industry. Additionally, she manages electronic discovery in *In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation* (E.D.N.Y.), in which a partial settlement of \$85 million was reached with defendants Deutsche AG, Lufthansa Cargo AG, and Swiss International Air Lines Ltd.

Ms. Scherrer works on a number of other antitrust cases, including: *Bruce Foods Corporation v. SK Foods, LP et al.* (E.D. Cal.); *In re Aftermarket Filters Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ill.); *In re Municipal Derivatives Antitrust Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Publication Paper Antitrust Litigation* (D. Conn.); and *Ace Delivery and Moving, Inc. v. Horizon Lines LLC et al.* (D. Alaska).

In addition to her current work on antitrust cases, Ms. Scherrer represents Holocaust victims in a breach of contract case alleging certain German corporations failed to pay appropriate interest due on their payments to a reparations fund.

Prior to joining Hausfeld LLP, Ms. Scherrer litigated antitrust, consumer fraud, employment, and ERISA cases at firms in Washington, DC, and San Francisco, CA. Among other cases, Ms. Scherrer was involved in *Schwab v. Philip Morris USA et al.* (E.D.N.Y.), the largest class action ever certified, in which the plaintiffs alleged a RICO conspiracy and fraud in connection with the marketing and sale of “light” cigarettes.

Education

- University of Colorado, Boulder, B.A., 1996
- American University Washington College of Law, J.D., cum laude, 2000

Bar Admissions

- California
- District of Columbia

Affiliations & Honors

- Interned during law school at the United States Supreme Court in the Office of Legal Counsel and for the Honorable Ricardo M. Urbina of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia
- *Law360 Competition* Editorial Advisory Board

James J. Pizzirusso

Mr. Pizzirusso is a partner in Hausfeld LLP’s Washington, DC office. His practice focuses primarily on consumer protection, sports and entertainment, antitrust, and environmental health. In addition to practicing law, Mr. Pizzirusso has served as a Visiting Professor at George Washington University Law School.

Mr. Pizzirusso heads Hausfeld LLP’s Consumer Protection practice group and was one of the court-appointed, co-lead counsel in *In re Tyson Foods, Inc., Chicken Raised Without Antibiotics Consumer Litigation* (D. Md.) (\$8 million nationwide settlement) and *Radosti v. Envision EMI, LLC*,

(D.D.C.) (\$17.5 million nationwide settlement). He is currently serving as one of the lead counsel in *Wolph v. Acer America, Inc.* (N.D. Cal.) and *In re PS3 "Other OS" Litigation* (N.D. Cal.). He was also recently involved in *Pelletz v. Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc.* (W.D. Wa.) and *Ross v. Trex, Inc.* (N.D. Cal.) -- both of which resulted in nationwide settlements on behalf of owners of defective decking materials.

Mr. Pizzirusso is the primary attorney representing Carlton Ridenhour ("Chuck D") of the rap group Public Enemy in seeking compensation for unpaid digital download royalties from Universal Music Group. He also works on the *Dryer v. Nat'l Football League* litigation seeking damages from the NFL's use of retired players' images and likenesses.

In the antitrust field, Mr. Pizzirusso represents clients alleging price fixing and collusion in various agricultural sectors. Mr. Pizzirusso is one of the principal attorneys in *In re Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Pa.) (\$25 million settlement with one defendant pending) and *Brigiotta's Farmland Produce and Garden Center, Inc. v. United Potato Growers of Idaho* (D. Idaho) (alleging nationwide supply reduction and price fixing conspiracy in the potato industry). He is also actively involved in antitrust litigation involving dairy farmers in the Southeast region.

Mr. Pizzirusso's practice also includes domestic and international environmental and public health litigation. He recently successfully resolved the claims of numerous farmers and landowners in Barbados who suffered reduced crop yields and property damages as a result of a massive jet fuel spill.

Mr. Pizzirusso has been asked to appear as a panelist at several conferences around the country and presented on topics including consumer protection law, toxic torts, and public interest litigation. Mr. Pizzirusso is also the author of several published papers.

Education

- University of Tennessee-Knoxville, B.A., *summa cum laude*, 1998
- George Washington University Law School, with honors, 2001

Bar Admissions

- District of Columbia
- Virginia
- The Supreme Court of the United States
- The Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals
- Several federal district courts

Affiliations & Honors

- Adjunct Professor, Environmental and Toxic Torts, George Washington University Law School, 2009
- Visiting Associate Professor of Clinical Law, Vaccine Injury Clinic, George Washington University Law School, 2007

Publications and Honors

- Benchmark Plaintiff Guide 2012 – named as "Top Antitrust Star" and "Local Litigation Star"
- author, "Liberalizing Rule 27 in the Twombly/Iqbal Era," *Law 360* (November 11, 2009)

- author, “Utilizing Novel Technologies to Sustain Trespass and Battery as Toxic Torts,” *The Environmental Litigator* (Spring, 2008)
- author, “Agency Rule-Making Power and the Clean Air Act: Putting the Brakes on American Trucking,” Spring 2001 Term: *Whitman v. American Trucking Associations, Inc.*, 7 *Environmental Law* 729 (June, 2001)
- author, “Increased Risk, Fear of Disease and Medical Monitoring – Are Novel Damage Claims Enough to Overcome Causation Difficulties in Toxic Torts?” 7 *Environmental Law* 183 (September, 2000)
- Mr. Pizzirusso was recently profiled in the Lawdragon’s Lawyer Limelight:
http://www.lawdragon.com/index.php/newdragon/fullstory/lawyer_limelight_james_pizzirusso/

Presentations

- “Toxic Trespass and Other New and Emerging Toxic Torts,” ABA COMMITTEES JOINT CLE SEMINAR, January, 2008, Snowmass, CO
- “Strategies for Pursuing Litigation Remedies,” NORTHEAST REGIONAL CONFERENCE ON ELIMINATING CHILDHOOD LEAD POISONING, November, 2006, Providence, RI
- “Outlook for Litigation,” NORTH CENTRAL REGIONAL CONFERENCE ON ELIMINATING CHILDHOOD LEAD POISONING, September, 2006, Chicago, IL
- “Developing Novel Theories of Recovery in Toxic Tort Litigation,” CONTAMINATION EXAMINATION: A WORKSHOP ON THE CHANGING FACE OF CHEMICAL CONTAMINATION LITIGATION, ABA CLE Program - September, 2006, Wilmington, DE
- “Consumer Protection Law,” D.C. BAR ANIMAL LAW CONFERENCE, American University Washington College of Law - April, 2004, Washington, DC
- “Public Interest Tort Litigation: Using Private Tort Actions to Further Environmental Justice & Public Ends,” THE PUBLIC INTEREST ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CONFERENCE, - University of Oregon School of Law - March, 2003, Eugene, OR

Brent W. Landau

Mr. Landau is a partner in Hausfeld LLP’s Philadelphia office. His practice focuses on representing plaintiffs in complex antitrust and consumer protection litigation. He has litigated claims of price-fixing and monopolization involving products and industries as varied as vitamins, microprocessors, transparent tape, medical devices, and stock car racing. In other cases, his clients have included consumers defrauded by manufacturers of “light” cigarettes and Indonesian villagers subjected to human rights abuses. The *Legal 500* has recommended him as “very professional and personable.”

Mr. Landau graduated from the State University of New York at Binghamton, where he received a B.A. in History and Philosophy (*summa cum laude*, 1998) and was a member of Phi Beta Kappa. He obtained his law degree from Harvard Law School (*cum laude*, 2001), where he was co-chairperson of the Tenant Advocacy Project and a supervising editor of the *Harvard Journal on Legislation*.

After law school, Mr. Landau served as a judicial law clerk to the Honorable Bruce W. Kauffman, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. He then worked for six years at Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C. before joining Hausfeld LLP.

Mr. Landau has been invited to speak on panels regarding the antitrust laws and other topics and is the author of several published articles. He is a member of the editorial board of *The Antitrust Practitioner*, an editor of *Antitrust Law Developments*, and a mediator in the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas Landlord-Tenant Appellate Mediation Program.

Currently, among other matters, Mr. Landau is counsel for the plaintiffs in *In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1775 (E.D.N.Y.), which has resulted in approximately \$250 million in settlements to date.

Education

- State University of New York at Binghamton, B.A., *summa cum laude*, 1998;
- Harvard Law School, J.D., *cum laude*, 2001

Bar Admissions

- Pennsylvania
- New York
- District of Columbia
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Affiliations & Honors

- Judicial law clerk to Honorable Bruce W. Kauffman, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (2001-2002)
- Member, Editorial Board, *The Antitrust Practitioner*
- Editor, *Antitrust Law Developments*
- Mediator, Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas Landlord-Tenant Appellate Mediation Program
- Recommended Lawyer, *Legal 500* (2010)

Publications

- Has *Hydrogen Peroxide* Really Made Antitrust Class Certification More Difficult?," *The Antitrust Practitioner* (2010)
- "Sovereign Immunity and You: How New York State Employees Can Enforce Their Federal Employment Rights," United University Professions Working Paper Series (2005)
- "State Employees and Sovereign Immunity: Alternatives and Strategies for Enforcing Federal Employment Laws," 39 *Harv. J. on Legis.* 169 (2002)
- "State Bans on City Gun Lawsuits," 37 *Harv. J. on Legis.* 623 (2000)

Presentations

- ABA Section of Antitrust Law, *Types of Restraints that Violate Section 1* (2010)
- United University Professions, *Preserving the Rights of Public Employees* (2005)

Arthur N. Bailey, Jr.

Mr. Bailey is a partner at the firm who has worked on multidistrict antitrust matters including *In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1827 (N.D. Cal.), alleging a conspiracy by manufacturers to fix prices of TFT-LCD panels used in products such as computer monitors, televisions, and cell phones; *In re Rail Freight Fuel Surcharge Antitrust Litigation* (D.D.C.), alleging price fixing of rail freight fuel surcharges by the nation's dominant freight-shipping railroads, and *In re Aftermarket Automotive Lighting Products Litigation*, MDL 2007 (C.D. Cal.), a case alleging a conspiracy by manufacturers to fix prices of aftermarket auto lights and lamps.

Other cases Mr. Bailey has worked on include *Bruce Foods Corporation vs. SK Foods, LP. et al.*, (E.D. Cal.), alleging a conspiracy by processors to fix prices of processed tomato products, and *In re Student-Athlete Name & Likeness Licensing Litigation* (N.D. Cal.) a case alleging antitrust violations by the NCAA and its member schools involving the commercial use of the likenesses of its student-athletes.

Mr. Bailey is also the author of an amicus curiae brief filed on behalf of the California Teachers Association in the Proposition 8 same-sex marriage case pending before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Bailey was an attorney at Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, PLLC, as a member of its antitrust practice group. He also was employed at Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP where he worked on antitrust, securities fraud and consumer fraud class action cases.

Education

- Wooster College, BA., 1984
- University of Tulsa, J.D., 1999

Bar Admissions

- California

Reena Gambhir

As a partner at Hausfeld LLP, Reena A. Gambhir works on international and domestic antitrust/competition and human rights matters.

In the international antitrust/competition field, Reena A. Gambhir currently represents purchasers of marine hose worldwide who seek a recovery of overcharges as a result of a global price-fixing cartel. This case resulted in a recent landmark private global settlement agreement in the matter with cartelist Parker ITR and the launching of an action in the London High Court against cartelist Dunlop. She also works extensively on legal issues related to the private civil enforcement of competition laws and the mechanisms for collective redress around the world.

Domestically, Ms. Gambhir currently works on, among other matters, *In Re: Refrigerant Compressors Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. M) alleging price-fixing on behalf of direct purchasers. Ms. Gambhir's recent achievements include helping to secure settlements totaling almost \$100 million in an antitrust case involving the chemical industry (*In Re Hydrogen Peroxide Antitrust Litigation*, (E.D. Pa.)). Ms. Gambhir also helped secure a \$5.1 million Cy Pres award to endow a

Center for Competition Law at The George Washington University Law School, resulting from a portion of a class-action settlement in the case of *Diamond Chemical Company, Inc. v. Akzo Nobel Chemicals B.V. and Atofina Chemicals, Inc., et al.*

Among international human rights and environmental matters, Ms. Gambhir currently represents residents of Bhopal, India who are exposed to the 1984 Union Carbide gas leak's uncontrolled remaining toxic waste. Ms. Gambhir also assisted in the representation of the former “comfort women”, women and girls who were forced into sexual slavery during World War II. In her *pro bono* work, among other successes and cases, Ms. Gambhir has successfully represented a Nepali citizen in United States Immigration Court in political asylum proceedings.

Ms. Gambhir Co-Chairs the firms’ Summer Associate Committee, and Mentoring program. She is also a member of Hausfeld LLP's Business Development Committee and Pro Bono Committee.

Education

- Boston College, English Literature, B.A., (minor in American Gender and Race Studies) (*cum laude*) 1999
- University of Chicago, M.A., Humanities, 2000
- National Law Center, George Washington University, J.D., 2004 (with honors)

Bar Admissions

- Massachusetts
- District of Columbia

Affiliations & Honors

- National Law Center, George Washington University, Thurgood Marshall Scholar

Ralph J. Bunche

Ralph Bunche is an associate in the firm’s Washington D.C. office. He joined in August 2009. Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Bunche was the legal advisor to Liberia’s Minister of Finance where he worked on a range of matters, including legislative and regulatory reform of the tax and investment laws and the negotiation and implementation of concession (extractive and agricultural) and debt cancellation agreements. Prior to this, he was an associate at O’Melveny & Myers LLP focusing on antitrust and securities litigation.

Mr. Bunche’s experience encompasses work on a range of sectors — including iron ore, petroleum, energy, rubber chemicals, natural rubber, palm oil, cocoa, eggs, dynamic random access memory, and securities brokers — and in a number of countries — including Bosnia-Herzegovina, India, South Africa, and Liberia.

Education

- Keele University (UK), B.A., (PPE)
- Essex University (UK), M.A., Human Rights
- Columbia University School of Law, J.D.

Bar Admissions

- New York
-

Sathya S. Gosselin

Mr. Gosselin is an associate in Hausfeld LLP's antitrust practice group and a member of the firm's Pro Bono Committee. Although active in many matters, Mr. Gosselin focuses much of his attention on *In re Rail Freight Fuel Surcharge Antitrust Litig.* (D.D.C.), in which shippers nationwide seek damages for alleged price-fixing of rail-freight fuel surcharges by the nation's largest freight-shipping railroads. Other representative engagements include *In re Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litig.* (N.D. Ohio) and *In re Flat Glass Antitrust Litig.* (W.D. Pa.) In addition to his work on various domestic antitrust matters, he currently represents a South Asian asylum applicant alleging political persecution.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Gosselin served as a staff law clerk for the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit (2007-2009) and Deputy Director of the ACLU of Texas's Prison & Jail Accountability Project (2003-2004).

Articles & Speaking Engagements

- Hausfeld LLP and Milberg LLP, *E-Discovery Today: The Fault Lies Not In Our Rules...*, 4 FED. CTS. LAW REV. 2 (2011)
- Cornell Law School, "Your Small Firm Career," Oct. 18, 2010 (panelist)
- Michael D. Hausfeld and Sathya S. Gosselin "Parents, Be Aware of the Behavior of Your Children": *Intra-Enterprise Accountability and Extraterritorial Jurisdiction in the European Union*, 2010 ABA/IBA International Cartel Workshop, Feb. 10-12, 2010
- Michael D. Hausfeld, Steig D. Olson & Sathya S. Gosselin, *The United States Heightens Plaintiff's Burden of Proof on Class Certification: A Response*, Global Competition Litig. Rev., Volume 2 Issue 4/2009.

Education

- Vassar College, B.A., Religion, 1999 (with honors)
- Cornell Law School, J.D., 2007

Affiliations & Honors

- Symposium Editor, *Cornell Law Review*

Bar Admissions

- California
 - District of Columbia Bar
-

Melinda Coolidge

Ms. Coolidge is an associate at the firm focusing on antitrust and consumer cases. She is currently involved in several cases, including *In re International Air Transportation Surcharge Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1793, involving an international cartel among major airlines to fix the price of fuel surcharges for passenger flights, and *In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1775, involving fixing prices of cargo shipments worldwide.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Coolidge served as a research assistant to Former Commissioner of the Federal Trade Commission, Robert Pitofsky, conducting research for his casebook, *Trade Regulation*, and for his book on the impact of conservative economic analysis on antitrust law, *Where the Chicago School Overshot the Mark*. She also served as the Senior Articles Editor on the *Georgetown Journal of Gender and the Law*. During her summers in law school, she worked at a boutique litigation firm representing whistleblowers against the government and at Heller Ehrman, LLP. Prior to attending law school, she worked at Public Citizen, a national consumer advocacy non-profit organization.

Education

- Tufts University, International Relations and French, B.A., 2003 (*magna cum laude*)
- Georgetown University Law Center, J.D., 2008 (*cum laude*)

Bar Admissions

- Maryland
 - District of Columbia
-

Jeannine M. Kenney

Ms. Kenney is an associate at the firm focusing on antitrust and human rights litigation. She is currently involved in *In re South African Apartheid Litigation*, MDL 1499, alleging that multi-national corporations aided and abetted the commission of crimes against humanity by the security forces of the apartheid regime; *In re Municipal Derivatives Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1950, alleging that providers and brokers of municipal derivatives conspired to rig bids for those derivatives; *In re Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 2002, alleging egg producers and trade groups conspired to restrict the domestic supply of eggs and egg products; and *In re Blood Reagents Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 2081, alleging manufacturers of blood reagents conspired to fix the prices of those products; among other matters.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Kenney served as a law clerk for the Honorable Cynthia M. Rufe, United States District Court Judge, Eastern District of Pennsylvania. While attending the Georgetown University Law Center, Ms. Kenney was a member of the *Georgetown Law Journal*, and was enrolled in the Center's widely-respected Appellate Litigation Clinic during which she received the International Academy of Trial Lawyers' Student Advocacy Award for her work on *Lytes v. DC Water and Sewer Authority*, No. 08-7002 (D.C. Cir. 2009).

Before obtaining her *Juris Doctor*, Ms. Kenney worked for many years in government affairs, including as a Senior Policy Analyst for Consumers Union, publisher of Consumer Reports, where she advocated for consumer interests before Congress and federal agencies (1997-1999, 2005-2008); as Vice President of Domestic Affairs and Communications Director for the National Cooperative Business Association, representing consumer, producer, and purchasing cooperatives (2000-2005); and as a legislative assistant on agriculture and food security among other issues, for United States Senator Herb Kohl (1999-2000) and former United States Senator Russ Feingold (1993-1997).

Education

- University of Wisconsin-Madison, B.A. Political Science & Economics (with distinction), 1988
- Georgetown University Law Center, J.D., 2009 (*magna cum laude*, Order of the Coif)

Bar Admissions

- Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
 - District of Columbia
-

Swathi Bojedla

Swathi Bojedla is an associate at Hausfeld LLP. She focuses on antitrust law. She is currently involved in several matters, including *In Re Cathode Ray Tube Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1917, alleging a global conspiracy to fix the prices of cathode ray tubes used in televisions and computers. She is also involved in class action lawsuit filed on behalf of retired NFL players, in which the plaintiff class claims that the NFL Players' Association did not validly represent retired players in recent CBA negotiations with the NFL.

While attending the Georgetown University Law Center, Ms. Bojedla was enrolled in the Center's Institute for Public Representation, a civil rights clinic, where she worked on Title VII litigation in federal district court.

Education

- Brown University, B.A., Human Biology & Public Policy, 2007
- Georgetown University Law Center, J.D., 2011

Bar Admissions

- New York (application pending)

ANNEX 3

Hausfeld & Co. LLP

Hausfeld & Co. LLP, the London affiliate of Hausfeld LLP, is dedicated to achieving justice for victims of economic abuse, crimes against society and human rights violations worldwide. The firm works to preserve a key element of the justice system – the ability to restore integrity to society. Its clients are businesses and individuals, singly and in group actions.

Hausfeld & Co. has a strong track record in recovering compensation for European claimants. Its services improve the ability of European investors and businesses to participate in antitrust and other actions for collective redress within the U.S.

The firm is developing effective means for the private enforcement of competition laws in Europe. Its attorneys are in dialogue with competition and economic regulators, defendants, their advisors and the practicing and academic legal communities. In London, the firm leverages its relationships with renowned economists and consulting firms to bring economic, accounting, and business advice to our work for claimants.

Practice Areas

Antitrust / Competition
Consumer Protection
Civil and Human Rights
Financial Services

Cases

Hausfeld & Co. is currently taking action against British Airways (“BA”) in the High Court, London, on behalf of claimants who suffered loss as a consequence of BA’s involvement with a number of other airlines in a global cartel to fix prices on air cargo shipments. As lead counsel for non-U.S. claims against a group of international airlines for fixing prices on air freight shipping, the firm has already obtained an \$85 million settlement with Lufthansa for shipments within, to and from the United States. The settlement will result in thousands of European businesses recovering damages.

The firm also represents thousands of travelers who paid fuel surcharges to BA and Virgin Atlantic on flights to and from the UK. Both airlines have admitted they illegally fixed these charges. The principal beneficiaries will be European consumers and businesses.

In July 2009 Hausfeld & Co commenced proceedings against industry giants Shell and Exxon Mobil for their involvement in the paraffin wax price fixing cartel. The cartel took place throughout Europe for a period of 13 years between 1992 and 2005 and involved seven other major producers of paraffin wax.

The firm was the only claimants’ firm to appear before the European Commission on behalf of European consumers in the Microsoft matter.

Also in July, Hausfeld & Co. commenced proceedings against Dunlop Oil & Marine Limited for its involvement in the marine hose cartel. The cartel took place during the period from at least 1986 to 2007 and involved five other leading manufacturers of marine hose, all of whom conspired to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the prices of the rubber hose which is used to transfer oil between storage facilities and/or buoys. Previously, the firm successfully negotiated a settlement with one of the marine hose cartelists, Parker.

Cartel Key

The EU estimates that the actions of illegal cartels cost businesses €2-3bn every year. Companies that suffer losses from cartel price-fixing and other anti-competitive practices deserve compensation but are sometimes deterred from action by the costs of litigation. To overcome this obstacle, Hausfeld LLP's London affiliate Hausfeld & Co. has created an innovative insurance and funding mechanism called Cartel Key.

Cartel Key provides access to cartel compensation in the UK at minimal risk for claimants.

How Cartel Key works:

1. The firm focuses on cartel cases where a competition authority or court has already found the cartelists to be liable (or even guilty under criminal law) or is highly likely to do so.
2. The firm uses this decision as the basis for "follow on" collective claims by groups of victims in national courts in the EU; these courts must apply the decision and find the cartelists liable.
3. The firm works with expert economists and forensic accountants to establish that the cartelists' illegal behavior caused quantifiable losses to victims.
4. The firm's clients commit to stand and fight together to maximize recovery for all on settlement or by a judgment of the court.
5. The firm works with FirstAssist Legal Protection, one of the UK's leading providers of Before- and After-the-Event legal expenses insurance ("BTE" and "ATE") to create a unique package that minimizes the financial risks of seeking compensation. The firm combines FirstAssist's ATE insurance with the provision of our legal services on a "success only" fee basis under well-established English law rules. Hausfeld & Co. will only be paid if its clients win the case. Litigants pay the insurance premium only at the end of the case, and then only if the case succeeds.

Hausfeld & Co. and FirstAssist will together assess each cartel in determining the terms of the insurance. The firm will only advise clients to proceed with a claim that its attorneys think has very good prospects of success and where they believe that the insurance in place is sufficient to cover the risks that may arise in bringing the claim.

Cartel Key so far has been a valuable insurance solution for the firm's clients. It has allowed numerous companies to seek compensation in major actions, including cases brought this summer by Hausfeld against paraffin wax and marine hose cartelists.

Hausfeld & Co LLP Attorneys

Anthony Maton

Anthony Maton is a partner specializing in competition and financial services litigation. He has extensive experience in complex international dispute resolution, including litigation, arbitration and mediation in a number of different jurisdictions. He has acted for Governments in regulatory investigations, for multinationals and for private business, and has worked in the USA and extensively throughout Europe, the Middle East and the Gulf.

Mr. Maton is a Solicitor with over 15 years experience, having been a partner in McGrigors and an associate at Slaughter & May. He is a member of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (he arbitrated under many rules including the LCIA, ICC and LME), an accredited Mediator and former Secretary and present Committee Member of the London Solicitors Litigation Association.

His recent experience includes acting in the Air Passenger settlement against BA/ Virgin, acting against BA in the London arm of the global air cargo cartel litigation being run by Hausfeld, and acting on the Parker Settlement in the Marine Hose cartel.

He has an Honors Degree in Modern History from the University of Oxford and has regularly spoken at conferences and seminars in the UK and abroad.

Ingrid Gubbay

Ingrid Gubbay is a consultant with Hausfeld & Co LLP in London. She practices antitrust, consumer and human rights law. Prior to joining Hausfeld & Co, Ms. Gubbay was the Principal Campaigns Lawyer for the largest consumer association in Europe, Which? Ltd. Last year in the case of JJB Sports v Consumer Association, Ms. Gubbay brought the UK's first antitrust representative action for damages on behalf of consumers (direct purchasers) under new statutory powers granted to Which? Ltd. by the Secretary of State.

Ms. Gubbay has an extensive background in bringing test cases involving collective actions in the UK and in Australia, where she was head of consumer litigation for the Legal Aid Commission (NSW). Ms Gubbay has written and presented on private enforcement for damages in antitrust law, and has lectured at the Universities of NSW and Essex UK in tort, administrative and international human rights law.

She is a member of the British Institute of Advanced Comparative law (BIICL) and sits on the comparative law working party of the UK Civil Justice Council, which is responsible for advising Government on civil procedural reform in the UK.

Education

- Advanced international Human Rights Law: LSE (2005)
- EU Law : Kings College London (2004)
- LLB, (Dist) University of NSW, 1994
- B.A.(Dist) University of NSW, Australia
- currently undertaking a PHD (research) at Queen Mary University London

Affiliations & Honors

- Awarded ‘Most Outstanding Achievement in 30 years’ : Legal aid Commission (NSW) 30th Anniversary 2009
- Third place UK ‘In House Lawyer’ : The Lawyer awards 2007
- EU Competition Group : Law Society UK
- Solicitors International Human Rights Group (SIHG): Law Society UK

Publications

- Co-authored the UK Civil Justice Council’s Report on UK Collective actions
- Articles in the *Consumer Policy Review UK*, *Global Competition Review*, *Global Competition Insight*

Nicola Boyle

Nicola Boyle is an associate at Hausfeld & Co LLP in London. She joined the firm in October 2009 and is currently assisting on a number of competition, consumer and financial service complaints, seeking recovery on behalf of both businesses and individuals.

Ms. Boyle previously worked on the dispute resolution team at McGrigors. She has represented multi-nationals, private businesses, and individual claimants in a wide range of complex disputes in the financial services and energy sectors. She is experienced in methods of alternative disputes resolution, having successfully resolved a number of complex multi-party disputes outside of the courts. She has advised on a number of regulatory investigations and judicial review proceedings. She has also taught an undergraduate course in European law at the University of Birmingham.

Education

- University of Birmingham, LLM (focusing on E.U. environmental law)
- University of Birmingham, LLB (Honors)
- University of Birmingham, B.A.

Scott Campbell

Scott Campbell is an associate focusing on UK and European claimant and complainant competition matters. He joined in May 2007 from the London office of Latham & Watkins, where his practice focused on merger control, regulatory and defendant competition matters.

To date, Mr. Campbell has experience of matters relating to the transport, energy, media and technology, pharmaceuticals, financial services, telecommunications and retail sectors.

Within these sectors, he has:

- Acted on behalf of claimants in the High Court relating to a competition law-based claim.
- Initiated and defended competition complaints before the OFT, the European Commission, and regulators.
- Acted for defendant clients in the context of OFT and UK Competition Commission competition investigations (such as Storecards and PPI).
- Assisted with large-scale, multi-jurisdictional merger control filings involving, among others, the European Commission (for example Bayer/Schering and Adidas/Reebok).

Education

- Postgraduate Diploma in EC Competition Law from King's College London
- M.Lit in Modern Historical Studies from the University of St Andrews
- M.A. (Hons) in Modern History from the University of St Andrews

Affiliations & Honors

- Quarterly contributor to the *Global Competition Litigation Review*

Publications

- *Recent Developments in the Civil Remedies Available in England and Wales in Respect of Breaches of EC Competition Law*, 2008 1 GCLR
- Co-author of the American Antitrust Institute's guide to competition litigation in England and Wales
- Co-author of the England and Wales section of *Getting the Deal Through - Private Antitrust Litigation 2010*

Lianne Craig

Lianne Craig is a Partner at Hausfeld & Co LLP in London. She advises on complex dispute resolution across a range of sectors, with particular expertise in financial services. Much of her caseload is international or multi-jurisdictional in nature, with her experience spanning cases which have gone to full trial, to applications for injunctive or other interlocutory relief, usually in the Chancery Division or Commercial Court of the High Court in England and Wales. She is also experienced in arbitration and mediation.

Ms. Craig has acted for financial institutions, multinational corporations, private equity and hedge fund investors and private individuals. She was involved in a number of heavyweight cases arising from the collapse of Lehman Brothers and has advised on issues such as mis-selling of investments, bondholder disputes, and claims arising out of loan, structured finance and derivatives transactions, in addition to a case involving large scale fraud perpetrated on the London Metal Exchange.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Craig was a Senior Associate at Weil, Gotshal & Manges, before which she trained and qualified at Clifford Chance LLP.

Ms. Craig has a strong commitment to human rights and to pro bono work. She has worked pro bono for clients ranging from private individuals to UK charities and international NGOs.

She is a graduate of the University of Aberdeen (First Class LLB (Hons) with Belgian Law) and is fluent in Spanish, as well as speaking French to an advanced level.

Education

- University of Aberdeen - LLB (Honours) with Belgian Law – First Class Honours
- Universite Libre de Bruxelles - Diplome en Droit Belge (Diploma in Belgian Law)
- College of Law – Legal Practice Course (LPC) – Distinction

Affiliations and Honours

- Society of Scottish Lawyers in London

Publications

- Interviews in Metropolitan Corporate Counsel - “Europe looks at Collective Redress” and “Collective Redress across the Pond”
- The Lawyer - “Balancing the Redress”

ANNEX 4

Recent Publications

“The Novelty of *Wal-Mart v. Dukes*.” By Brian A. Ratner and Sathya S. Gosselin. *Business Torts & RICO News*, American Bar Association, Business Torts & Civil RICO Committee, Vol. 8, Issue 1, Fall 2011.

“The Contingency Phobia - Fear without Foundation.” By Michael D. Hausfeld, Michael Lehmann, Spencer Jenkins & Nick Morgan. *Global Competition Litigation Review*. 2011.

“Twombly and Antitrust Class Action Plaintiffs.” By Michael Lehmann. *Law360*. January 27, 2011.

“E-Discovery Today: The Fault Lies Not In Our Rules.” William Butterfield, Megan Jones, Hilary Scherrer, Ralph Bunche, Melinda Coolidge, Faris Ghareeb & Sathya Gosselin (with co-authors from Milberg LLP). 2011 FED. CTS. L. REV. 4. February 2011.

“Has *Hydrogen Peroxide* Really Made Antitrust Class Certification More Difficult?” By Brent W. Landau. *The Antitrust Practitioner*, ABA Section of Antitrust Law Civil Practice and Procedure Committee, Vol. 7, Oct. 2010.

“The Rise of Public Cartel Enforcement and the Seeds of Potential Decline.” By Michael D. Hausfeld (and L. Geelhand). *International Competition Law Forum*, Volume 12. Current Developments in European and International Competition Law: 17th St. Gallen International Competition Law Forum ICF 2010.

“Giving Electronic Discovery a Chance to Grow Up.” By Megan E. Jones. *The National Law Journal*. December 14, 2009.

“Liberalizing Rule 27 in the Twombly/Iqbal Era.” By James J. Pizzirusso. *Law360*. November 11, 2009.

“Twombly, Iqbal And the Prisoner’s Pleading Dilemma.” By Michael D. Hausfeld, Michael P. Lehmann, and Spencer Jenkins. *Law360*. October 20, 2009.

“Competition Law Claims: A Developing Story.” By Michael D. Hausfeld and Vincent Smith. *European Antitrust Review*. September 2009.

“Global Enforcement of Anticompetitive Conduct.” By Michael D. Hausfeld. *The Sedona Conference Journal*. Fall 2009.

“Observations from the Field: ACPERA’s First Five Years.” By Michael D. Hausfeld, Michael P. Lehmann, and Megan E. Jones. *The Sedona Conference Journal*. Fall 2009.

“The Value of ACPERA.” By Michael D. Hausfeld. *CompetitionLaw 360*. June 2009.

“Response to EU Commission Green Paper on Consumer Collective Redress.” By Ingrid Gubbay and Vincent Smith. February 2009.

“Private Enforcement Claims: Are They a Risk for Consumers and Businesses?” By Ingrid Gubbay and Anthony Maton. Competition Law Insight. January 2009.

“Collective Redress for Competition Law Claims.” By Michael D. Hausfeld and Vincent Smith. *European Antitrust Review*. September 2008.

“Managing Multi-District Litigation.” By Michael D. Hausfeld and Michael P. Lehmann. *Antitrust Review of the Americas*. September 2008.

“A Victim’s Culture.” By Michael D. Hausfeld and Andrea Hertzfeld. *European Business Law Review*. December 2007.

Links to most of the publications above can be found at www.HausfeldLLP.com

ANNEX 5

Hausfeld LLP Offices

Washington, DC

202.540.7200 ph
202.540.7201 fax
1700 K Street, NW Suite 650
Washington, DC 20006

Philadelphia

215.985.3270 ph
215.985.3271 fax
1604 Locust St, 2nd floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103

San Francisco

415.633.1908 ph
415.358.4980 fax
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104

Hausfeld & Co. LLP - London

(00 44) 20 7665 5000 ph
(00 44) 20 7665 5001 fax
12 Gough Square
London
EC4A 3DW

For more information about the firm please visit www.HausfeldLLP.com